

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF MISSISSIPPI  
GREENVILLE DIVISION

RANDY WILLIAMS

PLAINTIFF

v.

No. 4:20CV29-GHD-RP

LEE SIMON, ET AL.

DEFENDANTS

**ORDER DENYING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION [74]  
TO EXTEND THE DEADLINE TO RESPOND TO: (1) THE  
DEFENDANTS' MOTION [66] TO REVOKE THE PLAINTIFF'S PAUPER STATUS  
AND (2) THE DEFENDANTS' MOTION [68] FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT;  
DISMISSING AS MOOT DEFENDANTS' MOTION [66] TO REVOKE  
PLAINTIFF'S PAUPER STATUS**


This matter comes before the court on the motion [74] by the plaintiff to extend the deadlines to respond to two motions by the defendants: (1) a motion [66] to revoke the plaintiff's pauper status, and (2) a motion [68] for summary judgment. The plaintiff filed the instant motion for time 24 days after the defendants filed their motions [66], [68], and he has offered no reason for the court to extend the deadlines.

(1) As the court has already revoked [70] the plaintiff's pauper status under the "three strikes" rule of the Prison Litigation Reform Act, the defendants' motion [66] requesting that relief is **DISMISSED** as moot (and the plaintiff's request to extend the deadline to respond to that motion has likewise become moot);

(2) For the reasons set forth above, the plaintiff's motion to extend response deadlines [74] is

**DENIED.**

SO ORDERED, this, the 21<sup>st</sup> day of October, 2022.

  
Sh. H. Danielson  
SENIOR U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE